

Platoon formation process with different CACC vehicle sequence

 $t = t_1$

 $t = t_{2}$

Vehicle

 $t = t_3$

Multi-vehicle trajectories design during Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control(CACC) platoon formation by Qinzheng Wang¹, Xianfeng Yang¹, Zhitong Huang², Yun Yuan¹ 1:University of Utah; 2: Leidos Inc., Saxton Transportation Operations Laboratory **Sequence Protocol** Algorithm vehicle_id Arrival time: the sooner a CAV arrives, the closer it is to the - 0 nitialization All CACCleading position Start from vehicle embedded vehicles consumption. - 2 sequence *m* Update the state of CACC-edded vehicles on managed lan + 3 Determine the next vehic Determine if vehicle *i* car Update objective Time (s) Jpdate the state of vehicle Update the state of other CAVs' trajectories during platoon 4 accelerate 4 4 CACC-embedded vehicles or All vehicle decelerate normal lane formation sequence checked? CAVs' sequence in CACC platoon with various situations $t = t_3$ All CACC-embedded $t = t_A$ ehicles passed the feasible **Process of vehicles leave the CACC platoon in which the vehicle** Return *m* lane changing area? sequence determined by arrival time **Destination:** the farther the CAV's destination is, the closer it Flow chart of vehicle sequence determination is to the leading position mine the set of all decision variable for all CACC-embedded vehicles **CACC** platoon CACC CACC platoor Update the state of all vehicles platoon nd calculate objective function Drop the unfeasible states according to Select optimal states of B the determined sequence and other All vehicles pass the feasible lane changing area? **Process of** $\stackrel{t}{vehicles}$ **leave the** $\stackrel{t=t_2}{CACC}$ **platoon** $\stackrel{t=t_3}{in}$ **which the vehicle** sequence determined by destination All CACC-embedded vehicle on managed lane Model development Return fuel consumption \succ The achievement Length of feasible lane changing area (m) Flow chart of fuel consumption optimization $\min(w_1 * F_1 + w_2 * F_2)$ to many factors. Numerical Study $F_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (x_{i,K} - x_{j,K}) * f(d_{ij}, x_{ij,K})$ sequence penalty Before optimization $\begin{cases} \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\left(L_{m,n}*\left(v_{i,k}\right)^{m}*\left(a_{i,k}\right)^{n}\right)\right) & a_{i,k} \ge 0\\ \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\left(K_{m,n}*\left(v_{i,k}\right)^{m}*\left(a_{i,k}\right)^{n}\right)\right) & a_{i,k} < 0 \end{cases}$ Fuel consumption $F_2 = \langle$

 $v_i(k+1) = v_i(k) + a_i(k) \cdot \Delta t \qquad i \in I$ longitudinal movement $x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) + v_i(k) * \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} * u_i(k) * (\Delta t)^2$ $u_i(k) \in U \quad \forall k \in \tau; i \in N$ control constraints $v_{min} \le v_i(k) \le v_{max}$ $\forall k \in \tau; i \in N$ $(3 - l_{j}^{i}(k) - l_{j}^{i'}(k) - O_{i,i'}(k)) \times M + x_{i}(k) - x_{i'}(k) \ge x_{safe} \ \forall i \in I; j \in L; k \in \tau$ $(2 - l_{i}^{i}(k) - l_{i}^{i'}(k) - O_{i,i'}(k)) \times M + x_{i'}(k) - x_{i}(k) \ge x_{safe} \ \forall i \in I; j \in L; k \in \tau$ $\sum_{i} l_{i}^{i}(k) = 1 \qquad \forall i \in I; j \in L; k \in \tau$ lane management $x_s \le \sum_{0}^{K_i} x_i(k) * y_i(k) \le x_f \qquad \forall i \in I; k \in \tau$



The total fuel consumption for all CAVs is 0.38L when the trajectories is designed without optimizing fuel After optimization, the total fuel consumption has been reduced to 0.21L, which is about 45% of reduction.

Length of feasible lane-changing area	Final vehicle sequence
(m)	
250	vehicle2 - vehicle0 -vehicle4 - vehicle3 - vehicle1
300	vehicle1 - vehicle0 -vehicle4 - vehicle3 – vehicle2
350	vehicle1 - vehicle0 -vehicle4 - vehicle3 – vehicle2
400	Vehicle0 – vehicle1 -vehicle2 – vehicle4 – vehicle3*
Maximum speed (km/h)	Final vehicle sequence
90	Vehicle1 - vehicle0 -vehicle2 - vehicle4 - vehicle3
100	Vehicle0 – vehicle2 -vehicle1 – vehicle4 – vehicle3
110	$Vehicle0 - vehicle1 - vehicle2 - vehicle4 - vehicle3^*$
120	Vehicle0 – vehicle1 -vehicle2 – vehicle4 – vehicle3*
Safe gap (m)	Final vehicle sequence
5	Vehicle0 – vehicle1 -vehicle2 – vehicle4 – vehicle3*
9	$Vehicle0 - vehicle1 - vehicle2 - vehicle4 - vehicle3^*$
13	Vehicle1 – vehicle0 -vehicle2 – vehicle4 – vehicle3
17	Vehicle1 – vehicle0 -vehicle2 – vehicle4 – vehicle3
Note: "*" indicates "optima	al"
0.4 0.3 0.3 0.2	Category After optimization Before optimization



≻ The fuel consumption of the optimized trajectories were reduced by 42%, 46% and 43% on average.

Conclusions

- \succ This study proposed a model to design trajectories of CAVs during CACC platoon formation that yields optimum performance on vehicle sequence, with an objective of minimum total fuel consumption.
- ► Using numerical tests, the proposed model and algorithm has shown its promise in optimizing CACC vehicle sequence and fuel consumption with a promising computation efficiency.