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Performance Measures: Mobility vs Accessibility

Credit: Paul Waddell
Need for Accessibility Measures

- As a supplement/replacement of traffic-centric measures: LOS, travel delays
- MAP-21 emphasizes use of performance measures in transportation planning & operation
- State legislations: Oregon Job and Transportation Act (OJTA)
Existing Accessibility Measures

- Handy and Niemeier, 1997
- Geurs and van Wee, 2004
- NCHRP Report 446, 618, 694, 708 ...
Market Potential Measures

Employment accessible within 30 minutes by public transit during a.m. peak

- Easy to interpret/understand
- Opportunities, mode, time-of-day and time budget specific

Source: University of Minnesota, Accessibility Observatory
Utility-based Measures

Logsum as an accessibility measure

- Elegant, composite measures for all modes; possible to derive net user benefit between scenarios
- Hard to interpret by itself; unable to compare across regions/times (benchmarking)

\[ E(CS) = \ln \left( \sum_{m'} \exp \left( U_{m'k} \right) \right) + C \]
Generalized Costs Indicator

Per distance generalized costs for motorized trips
- Easy to interpret/understand; able to monitor trends and compare scenarios
- Ignores land use system; mode, time-of-day specific


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Generalised costs indicator, for private car, 2007 (2000=100) by type of trip.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Location: | Randstad 107  
Outside Randstad 105 |
| Time of day | Rush hour 109  
Outside rush hour 105 |
| Trip purpose: | Business 102  
Commuter 110  
Other 106 |
| Distance (km) | Up to 15 105  
15 to 30 110  
30 to 50 108  
More than 50 104 |
H+T® Affordability Index

- Tracks out-of-pocket monetary costs of transportation and adds them to housing costs as a location efficiency measure;
- Ignores time costs; does not track the performance of transportation system except for Auto/Transit mode split and VMT.

Source: Center for Neighborhood Technology (CNT)
Wish List for YAPM

- A comprehensive measure able to present an overall picture of transportation and land use;
- Fill gaps in policy areas not adequately covered by existing performance measures, such as the equity and compatibility aspects (Reiff and Gregor, 2005)
- Easy to interpret/understand;
- Applicable to use cases ranging from prioritization, scenario evaluation/comparison, to benchmarking and standard;
Applicability of Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Prioritization</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Long-term Benchmark</th>
<th>Near-team Standard or Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation System Planning / Subarea Plans / Multi-jurisdictional Corridor Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project / Corridor Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Amendments / Zone changes subject to TPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection Criteria:
- Easy to apply
- Objective quantitative measure
- Good data availability
- Easy to understand

Source: Kittleson & Associations, Washington County Multimodal Performance Measures and Standards
TCI: the idea and implementations
Consumer Price Index (CPI)
From CPI to Transportation Cost Index (TCI)

Measures changes in the “price level” of a market basket of trips/destinations meeting households’ daily needs:
1. Identify a basket of trips/destinations based on pre-defined groups (e.g. trip purpose categories);
2. Track the costs of accessing trips/destinations in the basket.
Transportation Cost Index (TCI)

- Comprehensive measure of transportation and land use;
- Able to serve as a performance measure for policy areas including equity, transportation and land use compatibility and balance;
- Easy to interpret/understand;
- Based on widely available data sources, possible for all uses, esp. benchmarking and scenario evaluation/comparison.
Implementation A: Travel Survey-based Method

Relies primarily on input from household activity survey, e.g. Oregon Travel & Activity Survey (OTAS)

1. Construct travel baskets based on activity diaries or a sample of trips/tours that are representative of regional travel pattern, potentially by trip purpose, household size, income group and geography;
2. Track the time and monetary costs of making these trips/tours.

Suitable for prioritization and benchmarking applications.
Implementation B: TDM-based Method

Relies on inputs from travel demand model
- Data readily available for regions w/ TDM;
- Theoretically can calculate the transportation cost for every income group and for every TAZ;

Suitable for scenario evaluation/comparison.
Implementation B: TDM-based Method
Calculate Travel Costs: Cost Estimate by Mode

\[ C = C_0 + k \cdot TD + w \cdot TT \]

- \( C_0 \) - Constant
- \( k \cdot TD \) - Monetary costs (Fuel and tire costs, Ownership costs, insurance, etc) of travel
- \( w \cdot TT \) - Time costs of travel
Applications and Demonstration
Generalized Costs by Household Income Level (Portland, 2011)
Generalized Costs by Household Size (Portland, 2011)
Generalized Costs by Purpose & Income Level (Portland, 2011)
Generalized Costs by Purpose, Income Level and Transportation Districts (Portland, 2011)
Generalized Costs by Household Income Level (Portland)

2011

1994

Household-level trip cost by trip purposes income groups

Income Level
- lowinc
- midinc
- highinc

Travel Costs (minutes)
Generalized Costs by Household Size (Portland)

2011

1994

Household-level travel cost by household size
Generalized Costs by Purpose and Income Level (Portland)

2011

1994
Transportation Costs by MSA (All households)

Data source: 2009 NHTS
Transportation Costs by MSA (Low Income)

Data source: 2009 NHTS
Ongoing and Future Work

- Adopted by the Accessibility Indicator Development Team (IDT) as one of indicators for the Oregon Mosaic project mandated by OJTA
Ongoing and Future Work

- Test TCI usage in public engagement and policy making process
- Reconcile TCIs from the two methods;
- Verify patterns of transportation costs with information from alternative data sources, such as CES;
- Should external costs be included?
Code and Working Papers

● Code (under active development/testing) available at [http://github.com/cities-lab/tci](http://github.com/cities-lab/tci)

● Working Papers:
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Income Levels

To be consistent with the classification used in Metro’s TDM, household income levels are classified with this scale (1994 dollars):

- < $25K: Low Income
- $25-50K: Mid Income
- > $50K: High Income
Identify Activity Centers (Travel Market Basket)

Origin Employment Density
Steps (Giulini ao, 1991)

1. Calculate employment/size term density;
2. Identify TAZs with densities greater than density cutoff D and group contiguous TAZs identified into preliminary centers;
3. Calculate total employment or size terms for each center identified in step 2 and eliminate centers with total employment or size terms below total cutoff E from centers identified in step 2. The remaining are activity centers.
Determine Cutoffs

• Giulinao (1991) provides no guidance in selecting density cutoff (D) or total cutoff (E). They relied on expert knowledge

• Sensitivity Tests to determine cutoffs
Sensitivity Tests: HBW
Sensitivity Tests: HBS
Sensitivity Tests: HBS
Sensitivity Tests: HBO
Travel Costs Calculation: Cost Estimate by Mode

- **Auto**

\[ C_{auto} = C_{auto0} + k_{auto} \cdot TD_{auto} + w_{auto} \cdot TT_{auto} \]

- \( C_{m0} \) - Constant
- \( k_{auto} \cdot TD_{auto} \) - Monetary costs (Fuel and tire costs, Ownership costs, insurance, etc) of driving
- \( w_{auto} \cdot TT_{auto} \) - Time costs of driving
Travel Costs Calculation: Cost Estimate by Mode

• Public Transit:
  \[ C_{public} = \text{fare} + w_{public} \cdot TT_{public} \]
  – Fare: Transit fares
  – \( w_m \cdot TT_{public} \): Time costs of riding transit

• Non-motorized modes (bicycling and walking)
  \[ C_{bicycle} = C_{bicycle0} + w_{bicycle} \cdot TT_{bicycle} \]
  \[ C_{walk} = w_{walk} \cdot TT_{walk} \]
  – Time costs of Bicycling and Walking
Parameters

VOT (ratio to hourly wage):
walk=0.5  bike=0.5
auto / van/ truck driver=0.5
auto / van / truck passenger=0.35
bus=0.35  rail=0.35
dial-a-ride/paratransit=0.35
taxi=0.35  school bus=0.35
carpool / vanpool=0.35
other (specify)=0.5
driveAlone=0.5
drivePass=0.5
pass=0.35  busWalk=0.35
parkAndRideBus=0.35

Monetary costs per mile:
walk=0  bike=0
auto / van/ truck driver=$0.592
auto / van / truck passenger=$0.592
bus=$1.01  rail=$1.38
dial-a-ride/paratransit=0
taxi=$2.6  school bus=0
carpool / vanpool=0
other (specify)=$0.296
driveAlone=$0.592
drivePass=$0.592
pass=$0.592  busWalk=$1.01
parkAndRideBus=$1.01